arXiv:1208.2728v2 [math-ph] 30 Oct 2012

Dispersionless integrable systems in 3D and Einstein-Weyl geometry

E.V. Ferapontov and B. Kruglikov

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Loughborough University
Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU
United Kingdom

and

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics
NT-Faculty
University of Tromsø
Tromsø 90-37, Norway

e-mails:

`E.V.Ferapontov@lboro.ac.uk`
`boris.kruglikov@uit.no`

Abstract

We demonstrate that integrability of multi-dimensional PDEs can be seen from the geometry of their formal linearizations. Thus, for several classes of second order dispersionless PDEs that are integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions, the symbols of their formal linearizations define conformal structures which must be Einstein-Weyl in 3D, and self-dual in 4D.

MSC: 35L70, 35Q75, 53C25, 53C80, 53Z05.

Keywords: Formal Linearization, Dispersionless PDEs, Integrability, Conformal Flatness, Einstein-Weyl geometry, Self-Duality.

1 Introduction

Let

$$F(x^i, u, u_{x^i}, u_{x^ix^j}, \dots) = 0 \tag{1}$$

be a partial differential equation (PDE), where u is a (scalar) function of the independent variables $x^1, \dots, x^n$. The formal linearization of (1) results upon setting $u \to u + \epsilon v$, and keeping terms of the order ϵ. This leads to a linear PDE for v,

$$\ell_F(v) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where ℓ_F is the operator of formal linearization,

$$\ell_F = F_u + F_{u_{x^i}}\mathcal{D}_{x^i} + F_{u_{x^ix^j}}\mathcal{D}_{x^ix^j} + \dots.$$

(here $\mathcal{D}_{x^i}$ is the operator of total differentiation by x^i, and $\mathcal{D}_{x^ix^j}$ etc are its iterations). Notice that, for nonlinear F, the formal linearization depends on the background solution u. For instance, the linearization of the dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (dKP) equation, $u_{xt} - (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0$, reads as $v_{xt} - (uv)_{xx} - v_{yy} = 0$. The linearized equation (2) appears in a wide range of constructions and applications:

- *Stability analysis* of a solution u is based on the investigation of the spectrum of the linearized equation (2): this goes back to Lyapunov.
- *Symmetries* of the equation (1) correspond to solutions of the linearized equation of the form $v = v(x^i, u, u_{x^i}, u_{x^ix^j}, \dots)$, which are required to satisfy (2) identically modulo (1): this goes back to Lie.
- *Contact invariants* of ordinary differential equations can be obtained from the Wilczynski invariants of linearized equations [13, 14].
- *Generalized Laplace invariants* of PDEs appearing in the context of Darboux integrability can be obtained from the Laplace invariants of linearized equations [58, 2, 36, 38, 37].
- *Integrability* of ordinary differential equations can be seen from the structure of the differential Galois group of linearized equations: it must be Abelian, see [44] and references therein.

In general, coefficients of a linear PDE (in particular, its symbol) can be interpreted as tensor fields. The natural question arises: **Can one read the integrability of a given PDE off the geometry of its formal linearization?** In this paper we answer this question in the affirmative for the four particularly interesting classes of PDEs in 3D, namely

Equations of type 1:

$$(a(u))_{xx} + (b(u))_{yy} + (c(u))_{tt} + 2(p(u))_{xy} + 2(q(u))_{xt} + 2(r(u))_{yt} = 0, \tag{3}$$

this class was introduced in [16] in the context of the 'central quadric ansatz', see Remark at the end of Sect. 2. The corresponding integrability aspects were discussed in [28].

Equations of type 2:

$$f_{11}u_{xx} + f_{22}u_{yy} + f_{33}u_{tt} + 2f_{12}u_{xy} + 2f_{13}u_{xt} + 2f_{23}u_{yt} = 0, \tag{4}$$

here the coefficients f_{ij} depend on the first order derivatives u_x, u_y, u_t only. Equations of this type can be called quasilinear wave equations, their integrability was analyzed in [7], see also references therein.

Equations of type 3:

$$F(u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}, u_{xt}, u_{yt}, u_{tt}) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Equations of this form are known as the dispersionless Hirota type, or Hessian type equations. Their integrability was studied in [47, 25].

Equations of type 4:

$$A(u)u_x + B(u)u_y + C(u)u_t = 0, \tag{6}$$

here u is a two-component column vector, and A, B, C are 2×2 matrices. Equations of this form are known as systems of hydrodynamic type. Their integrability was investigated in [24]. It was demonstrated in [46] that 'generic' integrable equations of the types (4), (5) and (6) can be parametrized by generalized hypergeometric functions.

Solutions to equations (3)–(6) carry a canonical conformal structure which can be defined as follows: the symbol of formal linearization is a symmetric (2,0)-tensor $g^\sharp \in \Gamma(S^2TM)$ on the base manifold M with coordinates $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, t)$, which depends on a finite jet of the background solution u (in case (6) we have to use the dispersion relation). This tensor is only defined up to multiplication by a non-zero factor, which makes our theory conformal. We will always assume $g^\sharp$ to be non-degenerate, in this case the inverse (0,2)-tensor $g \in \Gamma(S^2T^*M)$ defines a metric[1]. In coordinates, $g = g_{ij}\,dx^i dx^j$ where g_{ij} is the inverse of the matrix of $g^\sharp$. The conformal class $[g]$ of the metric g is the key invariant responsible for the linearizability/integrability of the equations under study. Our main results can be summarized as follows:

- Equations (3)–(6) are linearizable (by a transformation from the natural equivalence group) if and only if the corresponding conformal structures g are conformally flat on any solution (an extra condition is needed in the case (6)). This provides a simple linearizability test based on the computation of the corresponding Cotton tensor (since all objects depend on a finite order jet of u, we do not rely upon smooth solvability of the equation (1), and carry out calculations formally using the geometric theory of PDEs).

---

[1] Since our approach to the dispersionless integrability is based on the method of hydrodynamic reductions [24], which generally reffers to hyperbolic systems, we assume the Lorentzian signature of g, but this requirement can be removed.

- Equations (3)–(6) are integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions [23] if and only if the corresponding conformal structures g are Einstein-Weyl on any solution (again an extra condition is needed in the case (6)). Recall that the Einstein-Weyl structure consists of a symmetric connection $\mathbb{D}$ and a conformal structure g such that

  (a) the connection $\mathbb{D}$ preserves the conformal class: $\mathbb{D}[g] = 0$,

  (b) the trace-free part of the symmetrized Ricci tensor of $\mathbb{D}$ vanishes.

  In coordinates, this gives

  $$\mathbb{D}_k g_{ij} = \omega_k g_{ij}, \quad R_{(ij)} = \Lambda g_{ij}, \tag{7}$$

  where $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ is a covector, $R_{(ij)}$ is the symmetrized Ricci tensor of $\mathbb{D}$, and Λ is some function [10]. In fact one needs to specify g and ω only, then the first set of equations uniquely defines $\mathbb{D}$. We point out that for all examples considered in this paper the covector ω is expressed in terms of g by the *universal* explicit formula

  $$\omega_k = 2g_{kj}\mathcal{D}_{x^s}(g^{js}) + \mathcal{D}_{x^k}(\ln \det g_{ij}). \tag{8}$$

  Note that in 3D this formula is invariant under the transformation $g \to \lambda g$, $\omega \to \omega + d\ln\lambda$, which is characteristic of the Einstein-Weyl geometry.

We recall that the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) are integrable by twistor-theoretic methods [33]. Thus, solutions of integrable PDEs carry 'integrable' geometry. Equivalently, one can say that second order dispersionless integrable systems in 3D (having non-degenerate symbol) can be viewed as reductions of the Einstein-Weyl conditions, which therefore play the role of a universal 'master-equation' [56]. Let us mention that relations of dispersionless integrable systems to the Einstein-Weyl geometry have been discussed in [55, 8, 9, 39, 18, 20, 21, 17, 31].

Given a class of integrable PDEs such as (4)–(6), the verification of the Einstein-Weyl conditions (7) can be a formidable task, primarily due to a rather intricate structure of the integrability conditions. A way to bypass computational difficulties is to use the result of Cartan [10] which says that the Einstein-Weyl property of a triple ($\mathbb{D}$, g, ω) is equivalent to the existence of a two-parameter family of surfaces which are null with respect to the conformal structure g (that is, tangential to the null cones of g), and totally geodesic in the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$. In the context of dispersionless integrable systems, such surfaces are provided by the corresponding dispersionless Lax pairs: these consist of λ-dependent vector fields X, Y which are required to commute modulo the equation, identically in the 'spectral parameter' λ (for some classes of PDEs discussed in this paper, e.g. (4) and (6), the existence of such Lax pairs was proved to be equivalent to the integrability by the method of hydrodynamic reductions [7, 24]). Note that X and Y may contain derivatives with respect to λ. Taking integral surfaces of the distribution spanned by X, Y in the extended four-space with coordinates x, y, t, λ, and projecting them down to the space of independent variables x, y, t, we obtain the required two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces, see the proofs of Theorems 5, 9 for further details. Computationally, this approach has an advantage, allowing one to avoid working with the full set of integrability conditions (the problem is to prove the existence of a Lax pair, and this is where the integrability conditions are needed). In this approach, the key object within the triple $(\mathbb{D},\ g,\ \omega)$ is the connection $\mathbb{D}$, which is uniquely specified by the given two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces. Both Einstein-Weyl conditions (7) will be satisfied automatically.

Example. As an illustration let us consider the dKP equation,

$$u_{xt} - (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0.$$

It was demonstrated in [18] that the corresponding Einstein-Weyl structure is provided by the conformal metric $g = 4dxdt - dy^2 + 4udt^2$ and the covector $\omega = -4u_x dt$. The dispersionless Lax pair is given by

$$X = \partial_y - \lambda\partial_x + u_x\partial_\lambda, \quad Y = \partial_t - (\lambda^2 + u)\partial_x + (u_x\lambda + u_y)\partial_\lambda,$$

one can verify that the condition $[X, Y] = 0$ is equivalent to dKP. Projecting integral surfaces of the distribution spanned by X, Y to the space of independent variables x, y, t, one obtains a two-parameter family of surfaces which are null with respect to g, and totally geodesic in the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$ specified by g and ω.

Our main results relating linearizability/integrability to geometry of formal linearizations are proved in Sect. 2-5 (we find it more convenient to treat the above four classes separately: explicit forms of the corresponding linearizability/integrability conditions are rather different). Known integrable equations of types (3)–(6) provide an abundance of Einstein-Weyl structures parametrized by elementary functions, elliptic functions, modular forms and Painlevé transcendents. Some further examples are collected in Sect. 6. Most of the examples of Einstein-Weyl structures exhibited in this paper are apparently new (otherwise a reference is given).

In Sect. 7 we discuss geometric aspects of integrability of second order dispersionless PDEs in 4D, indicating that the associated conformal structures must be self-dual. This is in agreement with the fundamental fact that the Einstein-Weyl equations are reductions of the equations of self-duality [35, 8, 9].

In calculations of the Cotton tensor and the Einstein-Weyl conditions we used symbolic packages of Maple. We shall omit the unnecessary lengthy formulae from the text. All results of this paper are local, though we perceive that global versions may be available through the twistor theory.

2 Equations of type 1

In this section we consider equations of the form (3),

$$(a(u))_{xx} + (b(u))_{yy} + (c(u))_{tt} + 2(p(u))_{xy} + 2(q(u))_{xt} + 2(r(u))_{yt} = 0.$$

Their integrability was investigated in [28] based on the method of hydrodynamic reductions. This approach requires the existence of multi-phase solutions of the form

$u = u(R^1, \dots, R^n)$, where the phases $R^i(x, y, t)$ satisfy a pair of consistent systems of hydrodynamic type,

$$R^i_t = \lambda^i(R)R^i_x, \quad R^i_y = \mu^i(R)R^i_x,$$

see [30, 23]. The requirement of the existence of 'sufficiently many' solutions of this type imposes strong constraints on the coefficients of the equation, and provides an efficient classification criterion. To formulate the classification result we introduce the symmetric matrix

$$V(u) = \begin{pmatrix} a' & p' & q' \\ p' & b' & r' \\ q' & r' & c' \end{pmatrix},$$

where prime denotes differentiation by u. The classification is performed modulo (complex) linear changes of the independent variables x, y, t, as well as transformations $u \to \varphi(u)$, which constitute the equivalence group of our problem.

Theorem 1 *[28] Equation (3) is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if and only if the matrix $V(u)$ satisfies the constraint*

$$V'' = (\ln \det V)'V' + kV, \tag{9}$$

for some scalar function k. Modulo equivalence transformations, this leads to the five canonical forms of nonlinear integrable models:

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} - (\ln(1 - e^u))_{yy} - (\ln(1 - e^u))_{tt} = 0,$$

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} + (e^u)_{tt} = 0,$$
$$(e^u - u)_{xx} + 2u_{xy} + (e^u)_{tt} = 0,$$
$$u_{xt} - (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0,$$
$$(u^2)_{xy} + u_{yy} + 2u_{xt} = 0.$$

Examples 2 and 4 are the familiar Boyer-Finley (BF) and the dKP equations.

We point out that the constraint (9), which implies $V'' \in span\{V, V'\}$, means that the 'curve' $V(u)$ lies in a two-dimensional linear subspace of the space of 3×3 symmetric matrices. The classification of normal forms of such linear subspaces, which is equivalent to the classification of pencils of conics, leads to the five canonical forms of Theorem 1. It was pointed out by D. Calderbank that equations of the form (3) are related to generalized Nahm equations with the gauge group $SDiff(\Sigma^2)$. In this language, the five canonical forms of Theorem 1 correspond to the five types of generalized Nahm equations obtained in [8]. For the dKP equation this correspondence was explicitly demonstrated in [16].

The linearized equation (3) is

$$a'(u)v_{xx} + b'(u)v_{yy} + c'(u)v_{tt} + 2p'(u)v_{xy} + 2q'(u)v_{xt} + 2r'(u)v_{yt} + \dots = 0,$$

where dots denote terms with lower order derivatives of v. Its symbol defines a conformal structure $g = g_{ij}(u)dx^i dx^j$ where $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, t)$, and the matrix g_{ij} is the inverse of V. Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 2 *Equation (3) is linearizable by a transformation from the equivalence group if and only if the conformal structure g is conformally flat on any solution.*

Proof:

The condition responsible for conformal flatness in three dimensions is the vanishing of the Cotton tensor,

$$\nabla_r(R_{pq} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{pq}) = \nabla_q(R_{pr} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{pr}), \tag{10}$$

where R_{pq} is the Ricci tensor, R is the scalar curvature, and ∇ denotes covariant differentiation in the Levi-Civita connection of g. Calculating (10) and using (3) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order partial derivatives of u containing differentiation by t more than once, we obtain expressions which have to vanish identically in the remaining higher order derivatives of u (without loss of generality we will assume that $c(u) = u$, this can be achieved by a transformation from the equivalence group). Requiring the vanishing of coefficients at the remaining derivatives of u, we obtain that all entries of the matrix V must be constant, which leads to linear equations. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

It turns out that conformal structures corresponding to all five integrable models from Theorem 1 satisfy the Einstein-Weyl property. In fact, this follows from the construction of [8] which provides Einstein-Weyl structures from solutions of the gauge field equations with the gauge group $SDiff(\Sigma^2)$ modelled on Riccati spaces; our goal here is to present the explicit formulae.

Equation 1: $u_{xx} + u_{yy} - (\ln(1 - e^u))_{yy} - (\ln(1 - e^u))_{tt} = 0$.
Conformal structure[2]: $g = dx^2 + (1 - e^u)dy^2 + (e^{-u} - 1)dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = \frac{e^u+1}{e^u-1}u_x dx - u_y dy + u_t dt$.

Equation 2: $u_{xx} + u_{yy} + (e^u)_{tt} = 0$ (BF equation).
Conformal structure: $g = dx^2 + dy^2 + e^{-u}dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = -u_x dx - u_y dy + u_t dt$.
This Einstein-Weyl structure was obtained in [55], see also [39].

Equation 3: $(e^u - u)_{xx} + 2u_{xy} + (e^u)_{tt} = 0$.
Conformal structure: $g = 2dxdy + (1 - e^u)dy^2 + e^{-u}dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = -u_x dx + (2e^u u_x - u_y)dy + u_t dt$.

Equation 4: $u_{xt} - (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0$ (dKP equation).
Conformal structure: $g = 4dxdt - dy^2 + 4udt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = -4u_x dt$.
This Einstein-Weyl structure was obtained in [18].

Equation 5: $(u^2)_{xy} + u_{yy} + 2u_{xt} = 0$.

---

[2] Here and in what follows we choose the 'simplest' representative metric g within the conformal class $[g]$. In all cases it is given by either the inverse or the cofactor matrix of $g^\sharp$.

Conformal structure: $g = 2dxdt + dy^2 - 2udydt + u^2dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = 2u_xdy + 2(u_y - uu_x)dt$.

In general, the corresponding conformal structures g and covectors ω can be written in terms of the matrix $V(u)$ as follows:

$$g = (dx\ dy\ dt)V^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dt \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \omega = 2(dx\ dy\ dt)V^{-1}V'\begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} - d(\ln\det V). \tag{11}$$

They satisfy the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) if and only if $V(u)$ satisfies the integrability condition (9). Setting $(x, y, t) = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ one can represent the components of $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ by the formula (8). It turns out that exactly the same formula holds for all other classes of dispersionless PDEs discussed in this paper. The covector ω is related to the symbol of formal linearization via the identity

$$g^{ij}v_{x^ix^j} = \nabla^i\nabla_i v - \frac{1}{2}\omega^i\nabla_i v$$

where $\nabla^i = g^{ik}\nabla_k$, $\omega^i = g^{ik}\omega_k$, and ∇ denotes covariant differentiation in the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g_{ij}. Note that the right hand side of this identity can be interpreted as a special case of the Weyl wave operator of weight zero [20]. The second main result of this section is

Theorem 3 *Equation (3) is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if and only if the corresponding conformal structure g is Einstein-Weyl on any solution, with the covector ω given by (8).*

Proof:

Given an equation of the form (3), the conformal structure of its formal linearization is $g = (dx\ dy\ dt)V^{-1}(dx\ dy\ dt)^t$. We will seek a covector ω in the form $\omega = (dx\ dy\ dt)T(u_x\ u_y\ u_t)^t$ where $T(u)$ is an unknown 3×3 matrix depending on u. Imposing the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) and using (3) to eliminate the second order derivative u_{tt} (no higher order derivatives of u will occur in this calculation), we obtain a set of relations which have to vanish identically in the remaining partial derivatives of u. Thus, equating to zero terms at the second order derivatives of u, we obtain T in terms of V,

$$T = 2V^{-1}V' - (\ln\det V)'E,$$

where E is the 3×3 identity matrix. This is equivalent to the formula (8) for ω. The remaining terms vanish identically if and only if V satisfies the constraint (9). This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark 1. It was assumed in the proof of Theorem 3 that ω depends linearly on the first order derivatives of u. One can show that this assumption is unnecessary: even a rather general requirement that ω depends on some finite order jets of u is already sufficiently restrictive, and leads to the formula (8) for ω.

Remark 2. Equations from Theorem 1 possess implicit solutions $u(x, y, t)$ of the form

$$(x, y, t)M(u)(x, y, t)^T = 1, \tag{12}$$

where $M(u)$ is a 3×3 symmetric matrix of u. The level surfaces of such solutions, $u = const$, are central quadrics in the space of independent variables x, y, t. This construction is known as the central quadric ansatz [53, 16]. The equations for $M(u)$ is

$$M' = cMVM/\sqrt{detM},$$

$c = const$. It was demonstrated in [53, 16] that in the cases of BF and dKP, this equation reduces to Painlevé transcendents P3-P1. It was shown in [28] that other integrable models from Theorem 1 lead to the remaining Painlevé equations P6-P4, with the full P6 corresponding to the first equation. Thus, we obtain a whole variety of Einstein-Weyl structures parametrized by Painlevé transcendents.

3 Type 2: quasilinear wave equations

In this section we discuss geometric aspects of quasilinear wave equations (4),

$$f_{11}u_{xx} + f_{22}u_{yy} + f_{33}u_{tt} + 2f_{12}u_{xy} + 2f_{13}u_{xt} + 2f_{23}u_{yt} = 0,$$

here the coefficients f_{ij} are assumed to be functions of the first order derivatives u_x, u_y, u_t only. PDEs of this type were investigated in [7] based on their correspondence with conformal structures in projective space. It was pointed out that the moduli space of integrable equations is 20-dimensional. In was shown in [46] that 'generic' integrable equations of the form (4) can be parametrized by generalized hypergeometric functions. We recall that the class of quasilinear wave equations is invariant under the group $GL(4)$ of linear transformations of the variables x^i, u, where $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, t)$. These transformations constitute the natural equivalence group of the problem.

The linearized equation has the form

$$f_{ij}v_{x^ix^j} + \dots = 0,$$

and defines a conformal structure $g = g_{ij}dx^idx^j$ where the matrix of g_{ij} is the inverse of f_{ij}. Here dots denote terms with lower order derivatives of v. Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 4 *Equation (4) is linearizable by a transformation from the equivalence group $GL(4)$ if and only if the conformal structure g is conformally flat on any solution.*

Proof:

Let us first recall, following [7], the linearizability conditions for equations of the form (4). Since the structure of these conditions is the same in any dimension, we will consider the general n-dimensional case,

$$f_{ij}u_{x^i x^j}=0,$$

where f_{ij} are functions of the first order derivatives u_{x^k} only, $i,j,k=1,\dots,n$. Setting $p_k=u_{x^k}$ we will write down a system of differential constraints for $f_{ij}(\mathbf{p})$ which are necessary and sufficient for the linearizability of the equation under study by a transformation from the equivalence group $GL(n+1)$. Let us introduce the object

$$a_{ijk}=\partial_{p_k}f_{ij}-(c_k+2s_k)f_{ij}-s_if_{kj}-s_jf_{ki},$$

where

$$s_k=\tfrac{f^{ij}}{(n+2)(1-n)}\left(\partial_{p_k}f_{ij}-n\partial_{p_j}f_{ik}\right),$$

$$c_k=\tfrac{f^{ij}}{(n+2)(n-1)}\left((n+3)\partial_{p_k}f_{ij}-2(n+1)\partial_{p_j}f_{ik}\right).$$

Then the linearizability is equivalent to the following two conditions:

- $a_{ijk}=0$,
- $\partial_{p_j}s_i-s_is_j=0$.

Geometrically, these conditions are equivalent to the existence of a flat connection ∇ (in $\mathbf{p}$-coordinates) with Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^i_{jk}=s_j\delta^i_k+s_k\delta^i_j$ such that $\nabla_kf_{ij}=c_kf_{ij}$, see [7] for more details.

Let us now require that the conformal structure $g=g_{ij}dx^idx^j$ is conformally flat on any solution. Calculating the Cotton tensor (10) (now we set $n=3$), and using (4) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order partial derivatives of u which contain differentiation by t more than once, we obtain a complicated expression which has to vanish identically in the remaining higher order derivatives of u. In particular, requiring that coefficients at the remaining fourth order derivatives vanish identically (no higher order derivatives of u will occur in this calculation), we obtain the first linearizability condition, $a_{ijk}=0$.

There are two ways to proceed: collecting terms at the lower order derivatives of u one can obtain the second set of linearizability conditions (this, however, leads to quite complicated calculations). Another way is to point out that the condition $a_{ijk}=0$ alone is already sufficiently restrictive [7, 51, 1], and implies that the PDE in question is either linearizable, or reducible to the equation for minimal hypersurfaces in a (pseudo) Euclidean space,

$$[(\nabla u)^2-1]\triangle u-(\nabla u)H(\nabla u)^t=0,$$

where $\nabla u=(u_{x^1},...,u_{x^n})$ is the gradient of u, $\triangle$ is the Laplacian, and H is the Hessian matrix of u. In the three-dimensional case we arrive at the equation

$$(u_y^2+u_t^2-1)u_{xx}+(u_x^2+u_t^2-1)u_{yy}+(u_x^2+u_y^2-1)u_{tt}$$

$$-2(u_xu_yu_{xy}+u_xu_tu_{xt}+u_yu_tu_{yt})=0.$$

To complete the proof it remains to point out that the corresponding conformal structure g is not conformally flat on any solution, furthermore, the equation itself is not linearizable (in fact, not even integrable for $n \geq 3$). This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remark. This proof, and the proof of Theorem 2, generalize to any dimension $n > 3$, with the only change that one needs the Weyl tensor of conformal curvature instead of the Cotton tensor. For non-linearizable differential equations, the requirement of conformal flatness singles out a subclass of exact solutions of a given PDE, see [41].

Our next goal is to prove that conformal structures corresponding to formal linearizations of *integrable* equations of the form (4) give rise to the Einstein-Weyl geometry. Let us begin with examples of known integrable PDEs.

Example 1. The equation $u_xu_{yt} + u_yu_{xt} + u_tu_{xy} = 0$ constitutes the Euler-Lagrange equation for the Lagrangian density $u_xu_yu_t$ which was obtained in [26] in the classification of first order integrable Lagrangians.
Conformal structure: $g = (u_xdx + u_ydy + u_tdt)^2 - 2u_x^2dx^2 - 2u_y^2dy^2 - 2u_t^2dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = -4\frac{u_xu_{yt}}{u_yu_t}\,dx - 4\frac{u_yu_{tx}}{u_tu_x}\,dy - 4\frac{u_tu_{xy}}{u_xu_y}\,dt$.

Example 2. The equation $\left(u_yp(u_t)\right)_x + \left(u_xp(u_t)\right)_y + \left(u_xu_yp'(u_t)\right)_t = 0$ constitutes the Euler-Lagrange equation for the Lagrangian density $u_xu_yp(u_t)$, which can be viewed as a deformation of Example 1. In this case the integrability conditions reduce to a single fourth order ODE for p,

$$p''''(p^2p'' - 2pp'^2) - p^2p'''^2 + 2pp'p''p''' + 8p'^3p''' - 9p'^2p''^2 = 0.$$

It was shown in [27] that the general solution to this ODE is a modular form of weight one and level three known as the Eisenstein series $E_{1,3}$.
Conformal structure:

$$g = (p'u_xdx + p'u_ydy + pdt)^2 - 2p'^2u_x^2dx^2 - 2p'^2u_y^2dy^2 - 2p^2dt^2 - 2pp''u_xu_ydxdy.$$

Covector:

$$\omega = 2\Big(\tfrac{pp''^2 - pp'p''' + p'^2p''}{p(pp'' - 2p'^2)}\,u_xu_{tt} - 2\tfrac{p'u_x}{p\,u_y}\,u_{ty}\Big)\,dx + 2\Big(\tfrac{pp''^2 - pp'p''' + p'^2p''}{p(pp'' - 2p'^2)}\,u_yu_{tt} - 2\tfrac{p'u_y}{p\,u_x}\,u_{tx}\Big)\,dy$$
$$+2\Big(\tfrac{pp''' - 3p'p''}{pp'' - 2p'^2}\,u_{tt} + 2\big(\tfrac{u_{tx}}{u_x} + \tfrac{u_{ty}}{u_y}\big)\Big)\,dt.$$

This structure is Einstein-Weyl if and only if p satisfies the above fourth order ODE.

The second main result of this Section is as follows:

Theorem 5 *Equation (4) is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if and only if the corresponding conformal structure g is Einstein-Weyl on any solution, with the covector ω given by (8).*

Proof:

We will give two proofs of this result. The first one is computational, based on the explicit calculation of the Einstein-Weyl constraints, and the integrability conditions as derived in [7]. The second proof utilises the fact that any PDE of the form (4) possesses a dispersionless Lax pair [7]. We demonstrate that the existence of a Lax pair implies that the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$, specified by the conformal structure $g = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$ and the covector (8), possesses a two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces, the property known to be characteristic of the Einstein-Weyl geometry [10].

The first proof can be summarized as follows. Given an equation of the form (4), the conformal structure of its formal linearization is $g = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$, where g_{ij} is the inverse of f_{ij}. We will seek a covector $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ in the form $\omega_k = T_k^{ij} u_{x^i x^j}$, where T_k^{ij} are certain functions of the first order derivatives of u. Imposing the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) and using (4) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order derivatives of u that contain differentiation by t more than once (maximum third order derivatives of u will occur in this calculation), we obtain a set of relations which have to vanish identically in the remaining partial derivatives of u. Thus, equating to zero terms at the third order derivatives of u, we obtain the expression (8) for ω. The remaining terms vanish identically if and only if the coefficients f_{ij} satisfy the set of integrability conditions as derived in [7]. This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.

Let us now give a somewhat more conceptual (as well as less computational) demonstration that the integrability implies Einstein-Weyl property. It is based on the fact that any integrable equation of the form (4) possesses a dispersionless Lax pair of the form

$$S_t = f(S_x, u_x, u_y, u_t), \quad S_y = g(S_x, u_x, u_y, u_t). \tag{13}$$

This means that the consistency condition, $S_{ty} = S_{yt}$, is equivalent to the equation (4). Lax pairs of this form are known to arise as dispersionless limits of solitonic Lax pairs in $2+1$ dimensions [57]. Let us first outline the general construction which leads from the Lax pair (13) to totally geodesic null surfaces of the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$. Differentiating (13) by x and setting $S_x = \lambda,\ u_x = a,\ u_y = b,\ u_t = c$ we obtain

$$\lambda_t = f_\lambda \lambda_x + f_a a_x + f_b b_x + f_c c_x, \quad \lambda_y = g_\lambda \lambda_x + g_a a_x + g_b b_x + g_c c_x. \tag{14}$$

With this system we associate the vector fields

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - f_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (f_a a_x + f_b b_x + f_c c_x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - g_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (g_a a_x + g_b b_x + g_c c_x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda},$$

which live in the extended four-dimensional space with coordinates x, y, t, λ. Note that the compatibility condition $\lambda_{ty} = \lambda_{yt}$ is equivalent to the commutativity of these vector fields: $[X, Y] = 0$. The geometry behind this construction is as follows. Let us consider the cotangent bundle Z of the solution $u(x, y, t)$, with local coordinates (x, y, t, S_x, S_y, S_t). Equations (13) specify a four-dimensional submanifold $M^4 \subset Z$ parametrised by x, y, t and λ. The compatibility of the equations (13) indicates that this submanifold is coisotropic. The vector fields X, Y are nothing but generators of the kernel of the restriction to M^4 of the symplectic form $dS_x \wedge dx + dS_y \wedge dy + dS_t \wedge dt$. Equations (14) mean that the vectors X, Y are tangential to the hypersurface of M^4 defined by the equation $\lambda = \lambda(x, y, t)$.

Projecting the two-parameter family of integral surfaces of the distribution spanned by X, Y to the space of independent variables x, y, t we obtain a two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces of the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$. To see this we first project X and Y. This gives two vector fields

$$\hat{X} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - f_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \hat{Y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - g_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

which commute if and only if λ satisfies the equations (14). It remains to show that $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ form a null distribution (that is, tangential to the null cones of g), and that the covariant derivatives $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\hat{X}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\hat{Y}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\hat{X}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\hat{Y}$ belong to the span of $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$. Equivalently, one can introduce the covector $\theta = dx + g_\lambda dy + f_\lambda dt$ which annihilates $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$, and verify that θ is null, and that $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\theta \wedge \theta = \mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. This follows from the equations satisfied by the functions $f(\lambda, a, b, c)$ and $g(\lambda, a, b, c)$ as derived in [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} f_a &= 2kf_{12} + g_\lambda k f_{22} + f_\lambda(kf_{23} - p), & g_a &= -2kf_{13} - f_\lambda k f_{33} - g_\lambda(kf_{23} + p), \\ f_b &= kf_{22}, & g_b &= -kf_{23} + p, \\ f_c &= kf_{23} + p, & g_c &= -kf_{33}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $p(\lambda, a, b, c)$ and $k(\lambda, a, b, c)$ are yet another two auxiliary functions. Furthermore, f_λ and g_λ satisfy the relation

$$f_{11} + f_{22}g_\lambda^2 + f_{33}f_\lambda^2 + 2f_{12}g_\lambda + 2f_{13}f_\lambda + 2f_{23}f_\lambda g_\lambda = 0, \tag{16}$$

which means that the covector θ is null. To close the system (15) – (16) one proceeds as follows. Calculating the consistency conditions for Eqs. (15), $f_{ab} = f_{ba}$, $g_{ab} = g_{ba}$, etc, six conditions altogether, and differentiating the relation (16) by a, b, c and λ, one obtains ten relations which can be solved for $f_{\lambda\lambda}, g_{\lambda\lambda}$ and the first order derivatives of k and p. Modulo these relations, the conditions $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\theta \wedge \theta = \mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ are satisfied identically. This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.

4 Type 3: dispersionless Hirota equations

In this section we discuss geometric aspects of PDEs (5) of the dispersionless Hirota type,

$$F(u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}, u_{xt}, u_{yt}, u_{tt}) = 0,$$

which were investigated in [47, 25], revealing a remarkable correspondence with hypersurfaces of the Lagrangian Grassmanian. Geometric aspects of $GL(2)$ structures associated with such equations were studied in [52]. In was shown in [46] that the 'generic' integrable equation of the form (5) can be parametrized by generalized hypergeometric functions. Recall that equations of the form (5) are invariant under the group $Sp(6)$ of linear symplectic transformations of the variables x^i, u_{x^i}, where $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, t)$. These transformations constitute the natural equivalence group of the problem.

The linearized equation has the form

$$F_{ij} v_{x^i x^j} = 0,$$

and defines a conformal structure $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ where the matrix of g_{ij} is the inverse of $F_{ij} = \partial F / \partial u_{x^i x^j}$. Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 6 *Equation (5) is linearizable by a transformation from the equivalence group $Sp(6)$ if and only if the conformal structure g is conformally flat on any solution.*

Proof:

Solving for u_{tt} (this can be done without restricting the generality due to the presence of a large equivalence group), we can rewrite (5) in the form

$$u_{tt} = f(u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}, u_{xt}, u_{yt}). \tag{17}$$

The corresponding linearized equation is

$$v_{tt} = f_{u_{xx}} v_{xx} + f_{u_{xy}} v_{xy} + f_{u_{yy}} v_{yy} + f_{u_{xt}} v_{xt} + f_{u_{yt}} v_{yt},$$

with the associated conformal structure (with upper indices) defined by the matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} f_{u_{xx}} & \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{xy}} & \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{xt}} \\ \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{xy}} & f_{u_{yy}} & \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{yt}} \\ \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{xt}} & \frac{1}{2} f_{u_{yt}} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We require this structure to be conformally flat for any background solution $u(x, y, t)$. Calculating the Cotton tensor (10) and using (17) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order derivatives of u containing differentiation by t more than once, we obtain a complicated expression which has to vanish identically in the remaining higher order derivatives of u (maximum fifth order derivatives will appear in this calculation). In particular, requiring that coefficients at the remaining fifth order derivatives of u vanish identically, we obtain nine second order differential constraints for f:

$$\begin{gathered} f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{xt} u_{xt}} + f_{u_{xx} u_{xx}} = 0, \quad f_{u_{yy}} f_{u_{yt} u_{yt}} + f_{u_{yy} u_{yy}} = 0, \\ f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{xt} u_{xt}} + 2 f_{u_{xt} u_{xx}} = 0, \quad f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{yt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{yt} u_{yy}} = 0, \\ f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{xt} u_{xt}} + 2(f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{xt} u_{yt}} + f_{u_{xt} u_{xy}} + f_{u_{yt} u_{xx}}) = 0, \\ f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{yt} u_{yt}} + 2(f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{xt} u_{yt}} + f_{u_{yt} u_{xy}} + f_{u_{xt} u_{yy}}) = 0, \\ f_{u_{xy}} f_{u_{xt} u_{xt}} + 2 f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{xt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{xx} u_{xy}} = 0, \\ f_{u_{xy}} f_{u_{yt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{yy}} f_{u_{xt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{yy} u_{xy}} = 0, \\ f_{u_{yy}} f_{u_{xt} u_{xt}} + f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{yt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{xy}} f_{u_{xt} u_{yt}} + 2 f_{u_{xx} u_{yy}} + f_{u_{xy} u_{xy}} = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{18}$$

It was shown in [50, 6, 12] that these relations characterise symplectic Monge-Ampère equations, that is, PDEs (5) such that the left hand side F can be represented as a linear combination of all possible minors of the Hessian matrix of u,

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} & u_{xt} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} & u_{yt} \\ u_{xt} & u_{yt} & u_{tt} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Symplectic Monge-Ampère equations and differential constraints (18) have a clear geometric interpretation. Let us consider the Lagrangian Grassmannian Λ^6 which can be (locally) parametrised by 3×3 symmetric matrices U. Minors of U define the Plücker embedding of Λ^6 into projective space P^{13}. We will identify Λ^6 with the image of this projective embedding. Symplectic Monge-Ampère equations can be viewed as hyperplane sections M^5 of $\Lambda^6 \subset P^{13}$. We point out that differential constraints (18) can be represented in compact form as

$$\begin{gathered} d^2f = 2a_0(df\,du_{xy} - du_{xt}du_{yt}) + 2a_1(df\,du_{yy} - (du_{yt})^2) + 2a_2(df\,du_{xx} - (du_{xt})^2) \\ +2b_0(du_{xx}du_{yy} - (du_{xy})^2) + 2b_1(du_{xt}du_{xy} - du_{yt}du_{xx}) + 2b_2(du_{yt}du_{xy} - du_{xt}du_{yy}) \end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

indeed, they follow from (19) on elimination of the coefficients a_i, b_i. Here d^2f is the symmetric differential of f. Notice that d^2f and the six quadratic expressions on the right hand side of (19) are nothing but second fundamental forms of the submanifold $M^5 \subset \Lambda^6 \subset P^{13}$ defined by (17). Furthermore, the six fundamental forms on the right hand side of (19) are the restrictions to M^5 of the second fundamental forms of $\Lambda^6 \subset P^{13}$. Thus, (19) says that M^5 has no nontrivial second fundamental forms 'of its own', that is, all its second fundamental forms can be obtained as restriction of the second fundamental forms of Λ^6. This is an obvious necessary condition for a submanifold $M^5 \subset \Lambda^6 \subset P^{13}$ to be a hyperplane section. In the present case, it is also sufficient. Calculating consistency conditions for (19) we obtain the equation for a_i and b_i,

$$\begin{gathered} da_0 = a_0\omega - 2s\,du_{12}, \quad da_1 = a_1\omega + s\,du_{11}, \quad da_2 = a_2\omega + s\,du_{22}, \\ db_0 = b_0\omega + s\,df, \quad db_1 = b_1\omega + 2s\,du_{02}, \quad db_2 = b_2\omega + 2s\,du_{01}, \quad ds = s\omega. \end{gathered} \tag{20}$$

Here $\omega = a_0du_{xy} + a_1du_{yy} + a_2du_{xx}$, and s is yet another auxiliary function. One can verify that $d\omega = 0$. Equations (19) and (20) constitute an involutive differential system for f which characterises symplectic Monge-Ampère equations.

Once we know that our PDE is of symplectic Monge-Ampère type, there are two ways to proceed. The first one is to use the fact that linearisable Monge-Ampère equations correspond to special hyperplane sections of Λ^6 such that the corresponding hyperplane is tangential to Λ^6, that is, belongs to the dual variety [25, 15]. Written in differential form, this simple geometric property gives just one extra condition which can be used to express s in terms of a_i, b_i. The resulting formula is quite complicated, reflecting the fact the the dual variety of Λ^6 is a quartic hypersurface defined by a rather cumbersome equation. Explicitly, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=-\{a_0^2 f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{yy}}+a_1^2 f_{u_{xx}}^2+a_2^2 f_{u_{yy}}^2-a_0 a_1 f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{xy}}-a_0 a_2 f_{u_{xy}} f_{u_{yy}}+a_1 a_2(f_{u_{xy}}^2-2 f_{u_{xx}} f_{u_{yy}})- \\
a_0 b_0(f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{yt}}+f_{u_{xy}})+a_1 b_1(f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{xy}}-f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{xx}})+a_2 b_2(f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{xy}}-f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{yy}})+ \\
a_1 b_0(f_{u_{xt}}^2+2 f_{u_{xx}})+a_2 b_0(f_{u_{yt}}^2+2 f_{u_{yy}})-a_0 b_1 f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{yy}}-a_0 b_2 f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{xx}}+ \\
a_1 b_2 f_{u_{xt}} f_{u_{xx}}+a_2 b_1 f_{u_{yt}} f_{u_{yy}}+b_0^2-b_1^2 f_{u_{yy}}-b_2^2 f_{u_{xx}}-b_0 b_1 f_{u_{yt}}-b_0 b_2 f_{u_{xt}}-b_1 b_2 f_{u_{xy}}\}/4 \det P,
\end{gathered}
$$

here the matrix P was defined at the beginning of the proof. A direct calculation shows that the remaining coefficients of the Cotton tensor vanish if and only if the above linearizability condition holds. This, however, is a rather complicated calculation.

Another way is to use the fact that, in three dimensions, any non-degenerate symplectic Monge-Ampère equation is either linearizable, or $Sp(6)$-equivalent to one of the three canonical forms,

$$
\operatorname{Hess} u=1, \qquad \operatorname{Hess} u=u_{11}+u_{22}+u_{33}, \qquad \operatorname{Hess} u=u_{11}+u_{22}-u_{33}, \tag{21}
$$

see [40, 3]. Note that the first equation governs improper affine hyperspheres, while the last two describe special Lagrangian 3-folds. One can verify by a direct calculation that formal linearizations of the equations (21) are not conformally flat for generic solutions. Thus, once again conformal flatness proves to be equivalent to the linearizability. This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.

As in the previous cases, formal linearizations of *integrable* equations of the form (5) give rise to conformal structures satisfying the Einstein-Weyl property.

Example 1. The equation $e^{u_{tt}}=e^{u_{xx}}+e^{u_{yy}}$ appeared in [25] in the classification of integrable PDEs of the form $F(u_{xx}, u_{yy}, u_{tt})=0$.
Conformal structure: $g=e^{-u_{xx}} dx^2+e^{-u_{yy}} dy^2-e^{-u_{tt}} dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega=2(u_{ttt} dt+u_{xxx} dx+u_{yyy} dy)-d(u_{tt}+u_{xx}+u_{yy})$.

Example 2. The equation $u_{tt}=\frac{u_{xy}}{u_{xt}}+\frac{1}{6} \eta(u_{xx}) u_{xt}^2$ appeared in [47] in the classification of integrable hydrodynamic chains. Here the integrability conditions reduce to the Chazy equation for η, $\eta'''+2\eta\eta''=3(\eta')^2$.
Conformal structure: $g=4 u_{xt} dx dy-\left(\frac{2}{3} \eta' u_{xt}^4+s^2\right) dy^2+2 s dy dt-dt^2$, here $s=\frac{1}{3} \eta u_{xt}^2-\frac{u_{xy}}{u_{xt}}$.
Covector:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega=\Big[(\tfrac{2}{3} u_{tx} \eta+4 u_{xy} u_{tx}^{-2}) u_{ttx}+(\tfrac{2}{9} u_{tx}^2 \eta^2+\tfrac{8}{3} u_{tx}^2 \eta'-u_{xy}^2 u_{tx}^{-4}-\tfrac{1}{3} u_{xy} u_{tx}^{-1} \eta) u_{txx} \\
+(\tfrac{1}{9} u_{tx}^3 \eta\, \eta'+\tfrac{2}{3} u_{tx}^3 \eta''-\tfrac{1}{3} u_{xy} \eta') u_{xxx}+(u_{xy} u_{tx}^{-3}-\tfrac{1}{3} \eta) u_{xxy}-2 u_{tx}^{-1} u_{txy}\Big] dy \\
-\big[(u_{xy} u_{tx}^{-3}+\tfrac{2}{3} \eta) u_{txx}+\tfrac{1}{3} \eta' u_{tx} u_{xxx}-u_{t,x}^{-2} u_{xxy}-2 u_{tx}^{-1} u_{ttx}\big]\, dt .
\end{gathered}
$$

This structure is Einstein-Weyl if and only if η solves the Chazy equation.

The second main result of this Section is as follows:

Theorem 7 *Equation (5) is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if and only if the corresponding conformal structure g is Einstein-Weyl on any solution, with the covector ω given by (8).*

Proof:

Given an equation of the form (5), the conformal structure of its formal linearization is $g = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$, where g_{ij} is the inverse of F_{ij}. We will seek a covector $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ in the form $\omega_k = T_k^{ijl} u_{x^i x^j x^l}$ where T_k^{ijl} are certain functions of the second order derivatives of u. Imposing the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) and using (5) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order derivatives of u that contain differentiation by t more than once (we use the representation (17); maximum fourth order derivatives of u will occur in this calculation), we obtain a set of relations, which have to vanish identically in the remaining partial derivatives of u. Thus, equating to zero coefficients at the fourth order derivatives of u, we obtain the expression (8) for ω.

With this expression, $R - \Lambda\, g$ is purely quadratic in the third order derivatives $u_{x^i x^j x^l}$. Choosing Λ in such a way that dt^2 term disappears, we get $5 \cdot 28 = 140$ coefficients at these quadratic terms which are third order differential polynomials in f. Note that these coefficients are linear in the third order derivatives of f. Their vanishing is equivalent to some 35 identities constituting an involutive closed system of third order PDEs for f. These are precisely the integrability conditions as derived in [25]. This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.

5 Type 4: systems of hydrodynamic type

In this section we discuss geometric aspects of integrable systems of hydrodynamic type (6),

$$A(u)u_x + B(u)u_y + C(u)u_t = 0,$$

where $u = (u^1, u^2)^t$ is a two-component column vector of the dependent variables, and $A(u), B(u), C(u)$ are 2×2 matrices. It will be assumed that there is a matrix in the span of A, B, C that is hyperbolic. This class is invariant under arbitrary changes of variables u^1, u^2, as well as linear transformations of x, y, t, which constitute the natural equivalence group of the problem. If C is non-degenerate, the multiplication by C^{-1} brings the system into evolutionary form. The integrability of systems of hydrodynamic type was investigated in [24]. In was shown in [46] that 'generic' integrable system of the form (6) can be parametrized by generalized hypergeometric functions. The linearized system has the form

$$A(u)v_x + B(u)v_y + C(u)v_t + \cdots = 0,$$

where dots denote terms which do not contain derivatives of v. The corresponding dispersion relation is given by the formula

$$\det(\lambda^1 A(u) + \lambda^2 B(u) + \lambda^3 C(u)) = 0.$$

This is a quadratic form in λ which can be represented as $(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)D(u)(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)^t$ where D is a 3×3 symmetric matrix. It defines the conformal structure $g = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$

where the matrix of g_{ij} is the inverse of D. Recall that $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, t)$. Our first result is as follows:

Theorem 8 *System (6) is linearizable by a transformation from the equivalence group if and only if it is integrable, and the conformal structure g is conformally flat on any solution (note that conformal flatness alone is no longer sufficient for the linearizability).*

Proof:

Without any loss of generality one can assume that system (6) is represented in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix}_t + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix}_y = 0 \tag{22}$$

(multiply by C^{-1} and use a change of variables u^1, u^2 to make the matrix $C^{-1}A$ diagonal: such diagonalization is always possible in the two-component hyperbolic situation). Here the matrix elements a, b, p, q, r, s are functions of u^1, u^2. The corresponding dispersion relation takes the form

$$(\lambda^1 a + \lambda^2 p + \lambda^3)(\lambda^1 b + \lambda^2 s + \lambda^3) - qr(\lambda^2)^2 = 0, \tag{23}$$

with the associated matrix

$$D(u) = \begin{pmatrix} ab & \frac{as+bp}{2} & \frac{a+b}{2} \\ \frac{as+bp}{2} & ps - qr & \frac{p+s}{2} \\ \frac{a+b}{2} & \frac{p+s}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives rise to the conformal structure $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ where the matrix of g_{ij} is the inverse of D. We require g to be conformally flat for any background solution u. Calculating the Cotton tensor (10) and using (22) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order derivatives of u which contain differentiation by t, we obtain the expression which has to vanish identically in the remaining higher order derivatives of u (maximum third order derivatives will appear in this calculation). In particular, requiring that coefficients at the remaining third order derivatives of u vanish identically, we obtain that a, b, p, s and qr must be constant (thus, all coefficients of the dispersion relation are constants). In this case the rest of the Cotton tensor vanishes identically. By a transformation from the equivalence group any such system can be brought to the form

$$u^1_t = q u^2_y, \quad u^1_y = q u^2_x,$$

where q is still an arbitrary function of u^1, u^2. For generic q such systems are neither linearizable nor integrable. Imposing the integrability conditions as derived in [24] we obtain just one extra constraint, $(\ln q)_{u^1 u^2} = 0$, which is equivalent to the existence of a change of variables $u^1 \to \varphi^1(u^1)$, $u^2 \to \varphi^2(u^2)$ bringing system (22) to a constant coefficient form. This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.

Formal linearizations of *integrable* systems of the form (6) give rise to conformal structures satisfying the Einstein-Weyl property.

Example 1. Let us consider the system

$$u^1_t + \frac{u^1u^1_x + u^2u^2_x}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2} + \frac{u^1u^2_y - u^2u^1_y}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2} = 0, \quad u^2_t + \frac{u^2u^1_x - u^1u^2_x}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2} + \frac{u^1u^1_y + u^2u^2_y}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2} = 0,$$

which was obtained in [23] as the first order form of the Boyer-Finley equation: indeed, the expression $\rho = (u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2$ satisfies the equation

$$\rho_{tt} = \triangle \ln \rho,$$

$\triangle = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$. In this case the dispersion relation is

$$\frac{(\lambda^1)^2 + (\lambda^2)^2}{\rho} - (\lambda^3)^2 = 0.$$

The corresponding Einstein-Weyl structure takes the familiar form [55]:
Conformal structure: $g = \rho(dx^2 + dy^2) - dt^2$.
Covector: $\omega = 2\frac{\rho_t}{\rho}dt$.

Example 2. Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type can be represented in the form

$$v_t + (H_v)_y = 0, \quad w_x + (H_w)_y = 0, \tag{24}$$

here $H(v, w)$ is the Legendre transform of the Hamiltonian density. The dispersion relation,

$$(\lambda^2 H_{vv} + \lambda^3)(\lambda^2 H_{ww} + \lambda^1) - (\lambda^2 H_{vw})^2 = 0,$$

gives rise to the conformal structure

$$g = (dy - H_{ww}dx - H_{vv}dt)^2 - 4H_{vw}^2 dxdt.$$

The corresponding covector ω is given by the formula (8),

$$H_{vw}^2\omega = \Big(H_{ww}\Delta_y + 2H_{vw}^2(H_{ww})_y + H_{ww}\big((H_{vv})_x + (H_{ww})_t\big)\Big)dx$$
$$-\Big(\Delta_y + (H_{vv})_x + (H_{ww})_t\Big)dy + \Big(H_{vv}\Delta_y + 2H_{vw}^2(H_{vv})_y + H_{vv}\big((H_{vv})_x + (H_{ww})_t\big)\Big)dt,$$

here $\Delta = H_{vv}H_{ww} - 2H_{vw}^2$. One can verify that g, ω satisfy the Einstein-Weyl constraints if and only if the potential $H(v, w)$ satisfies the set of integrability conditions as derived in [23] based on the method of hydrodynamic reductions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{vw}H_{vvvv} &= 2H_{vvv}H_{vvw},\\
H_{vw}H_{vvvw} &= 2H_{vvv}H_{vww},\\
H_{vw}H_{vvww} &= H_{vvw}H_{vww} + H_{vvv}H_{www},\\
H_{vw}H_{vwww} &= 2H_{vvw}H_{www},\\
H_{vw}H_{wwww} &= 2H_{vww}H_{www}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

This system is in involution. It was shown in [29] that its 'generic' solution is given by the formula

$$H(v,w) = Z(v+w) + \epsilon Z(v+\epsilon w) + \epsilon^2 Z(v+\epsilon^2 w); \tag{26}$$

here $\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $Z''(s) = \zeta(s)$ where ζ is the Weierstrass zeta-function: $\zeta' = -\wp$, $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_3$ (equianharmonic case $g_2 = 0$). Degenerations of this solution correspond to

$$H(v,w) = \frac{1}{2}v^2\zeta(w), \quad H(v,w) = (v+w)\ln(v+w),$$

as well as the following polynomial potentials:

$$H(v,w) = v^2w^2, \quad H(v,w) = vw^2 + \frac{\alpha}{5}w^5, \quad H(v,w) = vw + \frac{1}{6}w^3.$$

The second main result of this Section is as follows:

Theorem 9 *System (6) with nonconstant dispersion relation is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if and only if the corresponding conformal structure g is Einstein-Weyl on any solution, with the covector ω given by (8).*

Proof:

We will give two proofs of this result. The first one is computational, based on the explicit calculation of the Einstein-Weyl constraints, and the integrability conditions as derived in [24]. The second proof utilises the fact that any two-component integrable system of hydrodynamic type possesses a dispersionless Lax pair [24]. We demonstrate that the existence of a Lax pair implies that the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$, specified by the conformal structure $g = g_{ij}dx^idx^j$ and the covector (8), possesses a two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces, which is known to be equivalent to the Einstein-Weyl property [10].

The first proof can be summarized as follows. We consider system (6) represented in the form (22). The associated conformal structure is $g = g_{ij}dx^idx^j$ where g_{ij} is the inverse of D. We will seek a covector $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ in the form $\omega_k = T^i_{kj}w^j_{x^i}$ where T^i_{kj} are certain functions of u^1, u^2. Imposing the Einstein-Weyl equations (7) and using (22) and its differential consequences to eliminate all higher order derivatives of u that contain differentiation by t (maximum second order derivatives of u will occur in this calculation), we obtain a set of relations which have to vanish identically in the remaining partial derivatives of u. Thus, equating to zero coefficients at the second order derivatives of u, we obtain the expression (8) for ω. Equating to zero the remaining terms we obtain 15 relations providing all second order partial derivatives (in u^1, u^2) of the coefficients of the dispersion relation, that is, of a, b, p, s and qr. These relations constitute part of the total set of 16 integrability conditions as derived in [24]. To recover the missing condition we calculate the compatibility conditions for the 15 relations at hand. This leads to the two cases:

Case 1: all coefficients of the dispersion relation are constant, in this case all of the 15 relations are satisfied identically. Any such system can be brought to the form $u^1_t = qu^2_y,\ u^1_y = qu^2_x$, see the proof of Theorem 8. Although the Einstein-Weyl property is trivially satisfied, the system does not need to be integrable for generic $q(u^1, u^2)$. This is why we need to eliminate the case of constant dispersion relation from the statement of Theorem 9.

Case 2: one of the 15 relations, namely, the expression for the mixed derivative $(qr)_{u^1u^2}$, splits into two separate expressions for $q_{u^1u^2}$ and $r_{u^1u^2}$. This gives all of the 16 integrability conditions as derived in [24] based on the method of hydrodynamic reductions, thus finishing the first proof.

As in Theorem 5, there exists another demonstration that the integrability implies Einstein-Weyl property. It is based on the fact that any integrable system of hydrodynamic type, which we again assume represented in the form (22), possesses a dispersionless Lax pair

$$S_t = f(S_y,\ u^1,\ u^2), \quad S_x = g(S_y,\ u^1,\ u^2). \tag{27}$$

This means that the consistency condition $S_{tx} = S_{xt}$ is equivalent to the system (22). Differentiating (27) by y and setting $S_y = \lambda$ we obtain

$$\lambda_t = f_\lambda \lambda_y + f_{u^1} u^1_y + f_{u^2} u^2_y, \quad \lambda_x = g_\lambda \lambda_y + g_{u^1} u^1_y + g_{u^2} u^2_y. \tag{28}$$

With this system we associate the pair of vector fields

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - f_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (f_{u^1} u^1_y + f_{u^2} u^2_y)\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - g_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (g_{u^1} u^1_y + g_{u^2} u^2_y)\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda},$$

which live in the extended four-dimensional space with coordinates x, y, t, λ. Note that the compatibility condition $\lambda_{tx} = \lambda_{xt}$ is equivalent to the commutativity of these vector fields. Projecting the two-parameter family of integral surfaces of the distribution spanned by X, Y to the space of independent variables x, y, t we obtain a two-parameter family of totally geodesic null surfaces of the Weyl connection $\mathbb{D}$. This can be seen as follows. Equations (28) mean that the vectors X, Y are tangential to the hypersurface $\lambda = \lambda(x, y, t)$. Projecting X and Y to the space of independent variables x, y, t we obtain two vector fields

$$\hat{X} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - f_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \hat{Y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - g_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

which commute if and only if λ satisfies the equations (28). It remains to show that $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ form a null distribution (that is, tangential to the null cones of the conformal structure g), and that the covariant derivatives $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\hat{X}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\hat{Y}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\hat{X}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\hat{Y}$ belong to the span of $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$. Equivalently, one can introduce the covector $\theta = g_\lambda dx + dy + f_\lambda dt$ which annihilates $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$, and verify that θ is null, and that $\mathbb{D}_{\hat{X}}\theta \wedge \theta = \mathbb{D}_{\hat{Y}}\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. This follows from the equations satisfied by the functions $f(\lambda, u^1, u^2)$ and $g(\lambda, u^1, u^2)$ as derived in [24]:

$$f_{u^1} = -a\ g_{u^1}, \quad f_{u^2} = -b\ g_{u^2},$$

$$f_\lambda = \frac{b\left(p+r\frac{g_{u^2}}{g_{u^1}}\right)-a\left(s+q\frac{g_{u^1}}{g_{u^2}}\right)}{a-b}, \quad g_\lambda = \frac{s+q\frac{g_{u^1}}{g_{u^2}}-p-r\frac{g_{u^2}}{g_{u^1}}}{a-b},$$

$$g_{u^1u^2} = \frac{a_{u^2}}{b-a}\ g_{u^1} + \frac{b_{u^1}}{a-b}\ g_{u^2},$$

$$g_{u^1u^1} = \frac{g_{u^1}[g^2_{u^2}(r(b_{u^1}-a_{u^1})+(a-b)r_{u^1})+g_{u^1}g_{u^2}((a-b)p_{u^1}+(s-p)a_{u^1}-ra_{u^2})+qa_{u^1}g^2_{u^1}]}{(a-b)rg^2_{u^2}},$$

$$g_{u^2u^2} = \frac{g_{u^2}[g^2_{u^1}(q(a_{u^2}-b_{u^2})+(b-a)q_{u^2})+g_{u^1}g_{u^2}((b-a)s_{u^2}+(p-s)b_{u^2}-qb_{u^1})+rb_{u^2}g^2_{u^2}]}{(b-a)qg^2_{u^1}}.$$

Note that f_λ and g_λ satisfy the relation

$$(ag_\lambda + p + f_\lambda)(bg_\lambda + s + f_\lambda) - qr = 0,$$

which means that the covector θ is null in the conformal structure defined by the dispersion relation (23). This finishes the proof of Theorem 9.

6 Further integrable examples in 3D

In this section we collect miscellaneous examples of dispersionless integrable systems in 3D related to Einstein-Weyl geometry, which do not fit into the classes discussed above.

Example 1. The following system was derived by Manakov and Santini [43] as a two-component generalisation of the dKP equation:

$$u_{xt} - u_{yy} + (uu_x)_x + v_x u_{xy} - v_y u_{xx} = 0, \quad v_{xt} - v_{yy} + uv_{xx} + v_x v_{xy} - v_y v_{xx} = 0.$$

Its formal linearization results upon setting $u \to u + \epsilon u_1,\ v \to v + \epsilon v_1$ which gives

$$Lu_1 + \cdots = 0, \quad Lv_1 + \cdots = 0,$$

here $L = \partial_x\partial_t - \partial_y^2 + (u - v_y)\partial_x^2 + v_x\partial_x\partial_y$, and dots denote terms which do not contain second order derivatives of u_1 and v_1. The symbol of L gives rise to the conformal structure

$$g = (dy - v_x dt)^2 - 4(dx - (u - v_y)dt)dt,$$

which satisfies the Einstein-Weyl equations with the corresponding covector ω given by the formula (8):

$$\omega = -v_{xx}dy + (4u_x - 2v_{xy} + v_x v_{xx})dt.$$

This Einstein-Weyl structure was obtained in [17].

Example 2. The following system was proposed by Bogdanov [4] as a two-component generalisation of the BF equation:

$$(e^{-\phi})_{tt} = m_t\phi_{xy} - m_x\phi_{yt}, \quad m_{tt}e^{-\phi} = m_x m_{yt} - m_t m_{xy}.$$

Its formal linearization results upon setting $\phi \to \phi + \epsilon\phi_1,\ m \to m + \epsilon m_1$ which gives

$$L\phi_1 + \cdots = 0, \quad Lm_1 + \cdots = 0,$$

here $L = e^{-\phi}\partial_t^2 - m_x\partial_y\partial_t + m_t\partial_x\partial_y$, and dots denote terms which do not contain second order derivatives of ϕ_1 and m_1. The symbol of L gives rise to the conformal structure

$$g = (m_x dx + m_t dt)^2 + 4e^{-\phi}m_t dxdy,$$

which satisfies the Einstein-Weyl equations with the corresponding covector ω given by the formula (8):

$$\omega = \left(\frac{m_{tt}}{m_t^2} - 2\frac{\phi_t}{m_t}\right)(m_x\, dx + m_t\, dt) + 2\frac{m_{yt}}{m_t}\, dy.$$

Example 3. The equation

$$m_t^\rho m_{tt} = m_x m_{yt} - m_t m_{xy} \tag{29}$$

was obtained in [4] as a reduction of the two-component BF system from Example 2: set $\phi = -\rho\ln m_t$. Since this equation fits into the class of quasilinear wave equations discussed in Sect. 3, it gives rise to the Einstein-Weyl geometry with the corresponding conformal structure

$$g = (m_x dx + m_t dt)^2 + 4m_t^{\rho+1}dxdy,$$

and the covector ω given by (8):

$$\omega = (2\rho+1)\frac{m_{tt}}{m_t^2}(m_x\, dx + m_t\, dt) + 2\frac{m_{yt}}{m_t}\, dy.$$

Note that these g and ω result, via the substitution $\phi = -\rho\ln m_t$, from the analogous formulas of Example 2. It was pointed out in [5] that, applying to this equation the contact transformation defined as

$$(x, y, t, m, m_x, m_y, m_t) \to (x, y, \tau, F, F_x, F_y, F_\tau)$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}\ln m_t, \quad F = \frac{m - tm_t}{\sqrt{m_t}}, \quad F_x = \frac{m_x}{\sqrt{m_t}}, \quad F_y = \frac{m_y}{\sqrt{m_t}}, \quad F_\tau = -2t\sqrt{m_t} - \frac{m - tm_t}{\sqrt{m_t}},$$

one obtains the equation derived by Dunajski and Tod in the context hyper-Kähler metrics with conformal symmetry [20],

$$(F_y + F_{y\tau})(F_x - F_{x\tau}) - (F - F_{\tau\tau})F_{xy} = 4e^{2\rho\tau}. \tag{30}$$

It was shown in [20] that this equation gives rise to the Einstein-Weyl structure

$$g=(Fd\tau+F_xdx-F_ydy-dF_\tau)^2+16e^{2\rho\tau}dxdy,$$

$$\omega=4\rho d\tau+\frac{(2+4\rho)(F_x-F_{x\tau})dx+(2-4\rho)(F_y+F_{y\tau})dy}{F-F_{\tau\tau}}.$$

One can verify that the Einstein-Weyl structure of the equation (30) can be obtained from that of the equation (29) by applying the above contact transformation (and an appropriate rescaling). Note that in the last case the covector ω is no longer given by our formula (8) (unless $\rho=0$, in which case the equation becomes translationally invariant). The reason for this is that, although the Einstein-Weyl property is clearly contact-invariant, this is not the case for our formula for ω. We recall that, given a conformal structure g, the problem of reconstruction of the corresponding covector ω from the Einstein-Weyl constraints is far from trivial [22]. What simplifies this problem in our case is that ω should be given by a 'universal' formula depending on finite order jets of a solution u. In any case, a fully contact-invariant approach to dispersionless integrable systems in 3D is yet to be developed.

7 Integrability in 4D and self-duality

There are very few classification results in 4D. Here we consider the case of symplectic Monge-Ampère equations, that is, equations represented as linear combinations of minors of the Hessian matrix of a function $u(x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4)$, which constitute a subclass of equations of type 3 (in 4-dimensional situation).

Theorem 8 [15] *Over the field of complex numbers any integrable non-degenerate symplectic Monge–Ampère equation is $Sp(8)$-equivalent to one of the following normal forms:*

1. $u_{11}-u_{22}-u_{33}-u_{44}=0$ *(linear wave equation);*
2. $u_{13}+u_{24}+u_{11}u_{22}-u_{12}^2=0$ *(second heavenly equation [49]);*
3. $u_{13}=u_{12}u_{44}-u_{14}u_{24}$ *(modified heavenly equation);*
4. $u_{13}u_{24}-u_{14}u_{23}=1$ *(first heavenly equation [49]).*
5. $u_{11}+u_{22}+u_{13}u_{24}-u_{14}u_{23}=0$ *(Husain equation [34]);*
6. $\alpha u_{12}u_{34}+\beta u_{13}u_{24}+\gamma u_{14}u_{23}=0$ *(general heavenly equation),* $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$.

These heavenly-type equations are known to possess Lax pairs of the form $[X_1,X_2]=0$ where X_1,X_2 are parameter-dependent vector fields which commute modulo the corresponding equations [49, 34, 15, 42]:

Second heavenly equation $u_{13}+u_{24}+u_{11}u_{22}-u_{12}^2=0$:

$$X_1=\partial_4+u_{11}\partial_2-u_{12}\partial_1+\lambda\partial_1,\quad X_2=\partial_3-u_{12}\partial_2+u_{22}\partial_1-\lambda\partial_2.$$

Modified heavenly equation $u_{13} = u_{12}u_{44} - u_{14}u_{24}$:

$$X_1 = u_{14}\partial_2 - u_{12}\partial_4 + \lambda\partial_1, \quad X_2 = -\partial_3 + u_{44}\partial_2 - u_{24}\partial_4 + \lambda\partial_4.$$

First heavenly equation $u_{13}u_{24} - u_{14}u_{23} = 1$:

$$X_1 = u_{13}\partial_4 - u_{14}\partial_3 + \lambda\partial_1, \quad X_2 = -u_{23}\partial_4 + u_{24}\partial_3 - \lambda\partial_2.$$

Husain equation $u_{11} + u_{22} + u_{13}u_{24} - u_{14}u_{23} = 0$:

$$X_1 = \partial_2 + u_{13}\partial_4 - u_{14}\partial_n 3 + \lambda\partial_1, \quad X_2 = \partial_1 - u_{23}\partial_n 4 + u_{24}\partial_3 - \lambda\partial_2.$$

General heavenly equation $\alpha u_{12}u_{34} + \beta u_{13}u_{24} + \gamma u_{14}u_{23} = 0$:

$$X_1 = \partial_1 - \frac{u_{13}}{u_{34}}\partial_4 + \gamma\lambda(\partial_1 - \frac{u_{14}}{u_{34}}\partial_3), \quad X_2 = \frac{u_{23}}{u_{34}}\partial_4 - \partial_2 + \beta\lambda(\partial_2 - \frac{u_{24}}{u_{34}}\partial_3).$$

One can show that the distribution spanned by X_1 and X_2 is totally null with respect to the conformal structure provided by the symbol of formal linearization. The integrability of this distribution for any value of the spectral parameter λ implies the existence of a three-parameter family of null surfaces (α-surfaces), the property known to be equivalent to self-duality. Another way to see this is to notice that the above Lax pairs are linear in λ:

$$X_1 = X + \lambda Y, \quad X_2 = P + \lambda Q.$$

Furthermore, the corresponding formal linearizations can be written in the form

$$(XQ - YP)v + \cdots = 0$$

where the expression $XQ - YP$ is understood as a second order differential operator, and dots denote terms containing first order derivatives of v. According to [19, 32] this means that the symbols of formal linearizations must be self-dual (in fact, hypercomplex, but this property is known to imply self-duality). These and other examples support the following conjectures relating the linearizability/integrability of four-dimensional PDEs to conformal geometry of symbols of their formal linearizations:

- A 4D second order dispersionless PDE is linearizable if and only if the corresponding conformal structure is conformally flat on any solution.
- A 4D second order dispersionless PDE is integrable if and only if the corresponding conformal structure is (anti) self-dual on any solution. Since the equations of self-duality are known to be integrable by the twistor construction [48], this supports the evidence that solutions to integrable PDEs must carry integrable geometry.

A convenient approach to the integrability of four-dimensional PDEs is based on the requirement that all their travelling wave reductions to 3D must be integrable. This necessary condition turns out to be very strong indeed, and in many cases is already sufficient for integrability [15]. Since the integrability in 3D is related to the Einstein-Weyl condition, this gives yet another confirmation of the well-known fact that symmetry reductions of the self-duality equations lead to Einstein-Weyl geometry [35, 8, 9].

8 Concluding remarks

(a) We have characterized the integrability of several classes of dispersionless PDEs in 3D by the Einstein-Weyl property of their formal linearizations. It should be emphasized that the four types of equations under consideration, although invariant under certain equivalence groups, are clearly not contact/point invariant. On the other hand, our characterization is manifestly contact-invariant: the formal linearization of a nonlinear PDE plays the role of the 'tangent bundle' to the corresponding solution space. As such, its geometric properties (in particular, the Einstein-Weyl property) are invariant under arbitrary contact (and more generally Lie-Bäcklund type) transformations. This suggests a contact-invariant approach to the dispersionless integrability in 3D unifying all known examples. We should however warn the reader that, in general, the Einstein-Weyl property alone may not be sufficient for the dispersionless integrability: as we saw in the proof of Theorem 8, the system $u^1_t = qu^2_y,\ u^1_y = qu^2_x$ has a conformally flat symbol, but is not integrable for generic $q(u^1, u^2)$.

(b) In the case of higher order dispersionless PDEs in 3D, the symbol of formal linearization defines a generalized conformal structure which supplies each solution with a field of algebraic null cones. In the spirit of [10], one can define the 'generalized Einstein-Weyl' property by the requirement of the existence of a symmetric connection which preserves this generalized conformal structure, and possesses a two-parameter family of null totally geodesic surfaces. We expect that our results will carry over to this more general context.

(c) Attempts to extend our results to dimensions higher than four meet an immediate difficulty: all known integrable (non-linearizable) examples in dimensions five and higher have degenerate symbols. Thus, their solutions do not carry any conventional 'geometry'. One possible way to proceed is to require that non-degenerate travelling wave reductions of such PDEs to 3D/4D give rise to Einstein-Weyl/self-dual geometries.

(d) Einstein-Weyl structures are known to be related to third order ODEs satisfying the so-called Wünschmann and Cartan relations [11, 54, 45]. Thus, any solution of a dispersionless integrable system has a third order ODE naturally associated with it (to be precise, a point equivalence class of ODEs). The (complexified) space of the dependent and independent variables of this ODE, which can be identified with the space of null totally geodesic surfaces of the Einstein-Weyl structure, is known as the 'minitwistor' space [33, 53]. Solutions of this ODE correspond to curves of totally geodesic surfaces passing through a fixed point: these form a three-parameter family, and are known as 'twistor curves'. It would be of interest to develop an efficient procedure to explicitly calculate this ODE for multi-phase solutions provided by the method of hydrodynamic reductions.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Calderbank, M. Dunajski, K. Khusnutdinova and M. Pavlov for useful comments and clarifying discussions. We acknowledge financial support from the LMS (BK) and the University of Tromsø (EVF) making this collaboration possible. Special thanks go to the University Hospital of North Norway where part of this work was completed. The research of EVF was partially supported by the European Research Council Advanced Grant FroM-PDE.

References

[1] M.A. Akivis and V.V. Goldberg, *Projective differential geometry of submanifolds*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1993).

[2] I. M. Anderson and N. Kamran, *The variational bicomplex for hyperbolic second-order scalar partial differential equations in the plane*, Duke Math. J. **87**, no. 2 (1997) 265-319 .

[3] B. Banos, *On symplectic classification of effective 3-forms and Monge–Ampère equations*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **19** (2003) 147-166.

[4] L.V. Bogdanov, *Non-Hamiltonian generalizations of the dispersionless 2DTL hierarchy*, J. Phys. A **43**, no. 43 (2010) 434008, 8 pp.

[5] L. V. Bogdanov, *Dunajski-Tod equation and reductions of the generalized dispersionless 2DTL hierarchy*, arXiv:1204.3780.

[6] G. Boillat, *Sur l'équation générale de Monge–Ampère á plusieurs variables*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **313**, no. 11 (1991) 805-808.

[7] P.A. Burovskii, E.V. Ferapontov and S.P. Tsarev, *Second order quasilinear PDEs and conformal structures in projective space*, International J. Math. **21**, no. 6 (2010) 799-841.

[8] D.M.J. Calderbank, *Integrable background geometries*, http://people.bath.ac.uk/dmjc20/mpapers.html (2002).

[9] D.M.J. Calderbank and H. Pedersen, *Selfdual spaces with complex structures, Einstein-Weyl geometry and geodesics*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50**, no. 3 (2000) 921-963.

[10] E. Cartan, *Sur une classe d'espaces de Weyl*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **60** (1943) 1-16.

[11] E. Cartan, *The geometry of differential equations of third order*, Revista Mat. Hisp.-Amer. **4** (1941) 3-33.

[12] A. Donato, U. Ramgulam and C. Rogers, *The* $(3+1)$*-dimensional Monge-Ampère equation in discontinuity wave theory: application of a reciprocal transformation*, Meccanica **27** (1992) 257-262.

[13] B. Doubrov, *On a class of contact invariants of systems of ordinary differential equations*, Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) **50**, no. 1 (2006) 73-74.

[14] B. Doubrov, *Generalized Wilczynski invariants for non-linear ordinary differential equations*, Symmetries and overdetermined systems of partial differential equations, M. Eastwood, W. Miller (eds.), IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **144** Springer, NY (2008) 25-40.

[15] B. Doubrov and E.V. Ferapontov, *On the integrability of symplectic Monge-Ampère equations*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010) 1604-1616.

[16] M. Dunajski and P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation from Painlevé I and II*, Physics Letters A **303** (2002) 253–264.

[17] M.Dunajski, *An interpolating dispersionless integrable system*, J. Phys. A **4**, no. 31 (2008) 315202, 9 pp.

[18] M. Dunajski, L.J. Mason and P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl geometry, the dKP equation and twistor theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **37**, no. 1–2 (2001) 63–93.

[19] M. Dunajski, *The twisted photon associated to hyper-Hermitian four-manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **30**, no. 3 (1999) 266–281.

[20] M. Dunajski and P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl structures from hyper-Kähler metrics with conformal Killing vectors*, Differential Geom. Appl. **14**, no. 1 (2001) 39-55.

[21] M. Dunajski, *A class of Einstein-Weyl spaces associated to an integrable system of hydrodynamic type*, J. Geom. Phys. **51**, no. 1 (2004) 126-137.

[22] M.G. Eastwood and K.P. Tod, *Local constraints on Einstein-Weyl geometries: the 3-dimensional case*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18**, no. 1 (2000) 1-27.

[23] E.V. Ferapontov and K.R. Khusnutdinova, *On the integrability of (2+1)-dimensional quasilinear systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **248** (2004) 187-206.

[24] E.V. Ferapontov and K.R. Khusnutdinova, *The characterization of 2-component (2+1)-dimensional integrable systems of hydrodynamic type*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, no. 8 (2004) 2949-2963.

[25] E.V. Ferapontov, L. Hadjikos and K.R. Khusnutdinova, *Integrable equations of the dispersionless Hirota type and hypersurfaces in the Lagrangian Grassmannian*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2010) no. 3, 496–535; arXiv: 0705.1774 (2007).

[26] E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova and S.P. Tsarev, *On a class of three-dimensional integrable Lagrangians*, Comm. Math. Phys. **261**, N1 (2006) 225–243.

[27] E.V. Ferapontov and A.V. Odesskii, *Integrable Lagrangians and modular forms*, J. Geom. Phys. **60**, no. 6-8 (2010) 896–906.

[28] E.V. Ferapontov, B. Huard and A. Zhang, *On the central quadric ansatz: integrable models and Painlevé reductions*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012) 195–204.

[29] E.V. Ferapontov, A. Moro and V. V. Sokolov, *Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type in 2+1 dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **285**, no. 1 (2009) 31–65.

[30] J. Gibbons and S.P. Tsarev, *Reductions of the Benney equations*, Phys. Lett. A **211** (1996) 19–24; *Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations*, Phys. Lett. A **258** (1999) 263–270.

[31] M. Godlinski and P. Nurowski, *On three-dimensional Weyl structures with reduced holonomy*, Classical Quantum Gravity **23**, no. 3 (2006) 603-608.

[32] J.D.E. Grant and I.A.B. Strachan, *Hypercomplex integrable systems*, Nonlinearity **12**, no. 5 (1999) 1247–1261.

[33] N.J. Hitchin, *Complex manifolds and Einstein's equations*, Twistor geometry and nonlinear systems (Primorsko, 1980), 73–99, Lecture Notes in Math. **970**, Springer, Berlin-New York (1982).

[34] V. Husain, *Self-dual gravity as a two-dimensional theory and conservation laws*, Classical Quantum Gravity **11**, no. 4 (1994) 927–937.

[35] P.E. Jones and K.P. Tod, *Minitwistor spaces and Einstein-Weyl spaces*, Classical Quantum Gravity **2**, no. 4 (1985) 565–577.

[36] M. Juras and I. M. Anderson, *Generalized Laplace invariants and the method of Darboux*, Duke Math. J. **89**, no. 2 (1997) 351–375.

[37] B. Kruglikov, *Laplace transformation of Lie class $\omega = 1$ overdetermined systems*, J. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **18**, no. 4 (2011) 583-611.

[38] A. Kushner, *Classification of Monge-Ampère equations*, B. Kruglikov, V. Lychagin, E. Straume (eds.), Differential Equations: Geometry, Symmetries and Integrability: The Abel Symposium 2008, Abel Symposia **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2009).

[39] C. LeBrun, *Explicit self-dual metrics on $CP_2\sharp\cdots\sharp CP_2$*, J. Differential Geom. **34**, no. 1 (1991) 223-253.

[40] V.V. Lychagin, V.N. Rubtsov and I.V. Chekalov, *A classification of Monge–Ampère equations*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **26**, no. 4 (1993) 281–308.

[41] V.V. Lychagin and V. Yumaguzhin, *Minkowski metrics on solutions of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **30**, no. 4 (2009) 333–336.

[42] A.A. Malykh and M.B. Sheftel, *General heavenly equation governs anti-self-dual gravity*, J. Phys. A **44**, no. 15 (2011) 155201, 11 pp.

[43] S.V. Manakov and P.M. Santini, *The Cauchy problem on the plane for the dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, JETP Lett. **83** (2006) 462-6.

[44] J.J. Morales Ruiz, *Differential Galois theory and non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems*, Progress in Mathematics **179**, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel (1999) 167 pp.

[45] P. Nurowski, *Differential equations and conformal structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **55**, no. 1 (2005) 19-49.

[46] A. Odesskii and V. Sokolov, *Integrable (2+1)-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type*, Theor. Math. Phys. **163**, no. 2 (2010) 549–586.

[47] M.V. Pavlov, *New integrable (2+1)-equations of hydrodynamic type*, Russian Math. Surv., **58**, no. 2 (2003) 384-385; *Classifying integrable Egoroff hydrodynamic chains*, Theor. Math. Phys. **138** (2004) 45–58.

[48] R. Penrose, *Nonlinear gravitons and curved twistor theory*, General Relativity and Gravitation **7**, no. 1 (1976) 31–52.

[49] J.F. Plebański, *Some solutions of complex Einstein equations*, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975) 2395–2402.

[50] T. Ruggeri, *Su una naturale estensione a tre variabili dell' equazione di Monge-Ampere*, Accad. Naz. Lincei, LV (1973) 445–449.

[51] L.P. Safaryan, *Certain classes of manifolds of cones of order two in P_n*, Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Dokl. **50** (1970) 83–90.

[52] A.D. Smith, *Integrable GL(2) geometry and hydrodynamic partial differential equations*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **18**, no. 4 (2010) 743–790.

[53] K.P. Tod, *Scalar-flat Kähler and hyper-Kähler metrics from Painlevé-III*, Class. Quantum Grav. **12** (1995) 1535–1547.

[54] K.P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and third-order differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, no. 8 (2000) 5572-5581.

[55] R.S. Ward, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and $SU(\infty)$ Toda fields*, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, no. 4 (1990) L95-L98.

[56] R.S. Ward, *Integrable and solvable systems, and relations among them*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **315**, no. 1533 (1985) 451-457.

[57] V.E. Zakharov, *Dispersionless limit of integrable systems in* $2+1$ *dimensions*, in Singular Limits of Dispersive Waves, Ed. N.M. Ercolani et al., Plenum Press, NY (1994) 165-174.

[58] A.V. Zhiber and V.V. Sokolov, *Exactly integrable hyperbolic equations of Liouville type*, Russian Math. Surveys **56**, no. 1 (2001) 61–101.